



# Pupil premium strategy statement 2021- 2024

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2021 to 2022 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

#### **School overview**

Detail	Data
School name	St Mary's CE Primary
Number of pupils in school	306
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	28.1%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers	2021/2022 to 2024/2025
Date this statement was published	December 2021
Date on which it will be reviewed	July 2022
Statement authorised by	Trust AIO
Pupil premium lead	Abbie Price
Trustee lead	Margaret Holman

#### **Funding overview**

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£124,297
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£11,600
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0.00 if not applicable)	£ 0.00
Total budget for this academic year	£135,897





# Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

#### **Statement of intent**

At St Mary's CRE Primary aim for every child, within our school, to experience an excellent education and to realise their God-given potential to flourish.

Improving the achievement of disadvantaged pupils is integral to all key priorities in our wider school improvement plan relating to the quality of education. Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress across all subject areas. Our goal is to ensure that no child is left behind socially, or academically because of disadvantage.

We fully embrace research evidence regarding effective spending of pupil premium funding to focus on the challenges identified for our disadvantaged pupils including those pupils who are vulnerable and need extra support e.g. pupils who are or have been in contact with a social worker.

Our three- tiered approach has high quality teaching at the core of our pupil premium strategy proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school.

In addition to discussions, observations and responsive teaching, we use a range of assessments including standardised scores and gap analyses to identify specific areas to be addressed to enable our disadvantage pupils to achieve.

All colleagues take responsibility for our whole school approach for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve.

#### Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge	Links to Tiers
1	Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils suggest disadvantaged pupils generally have greater difficulties with phonics than their peers. This negatively impacts their development as readers.	1, 2
2	Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils suggest disadvantaged pupils generally have greater difficulties in developing and applying their key reading strategies to reading comprehension in KS2 than their peers in readiness for secondary school.	1, 2

5	2
9=	11P
	-



	On entry to Reception class in the previous 2 years, between 100% of our disadvantaged pupils arrived below age-related expectations at 22-36 months compared to 7% of other pupils at 22-36 months. This gap remains steady to the end of KS2	
3	Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils suggest disadvantaged pupils generally have greater difficulties in developing their knowledge of language, gained from stories, plays, poetry, non- fiction and textbooks to support their facility as writers in readiness for secondary school. These challenges are evident from Reception through to KS2 and in general, are more prevalent among our disadvantaged pupils than their peers.	1, 2
	On entry to Reception class in the previous 2 years, between 100% of our disadvantaged pupils arrived below age-related expectations at 22-36 months compared to 24-7% of other pupils at 22-36months. This gap remains steady to the end of KS2	
4	Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils indicate underdeveloped oral language skills and vocabulary gaps among many disadvantaged pupils. These are evident from Reception through to KS2 and in general, are more prevalent among our disadvantaged pupils than their peers.	1, 2
5	Internal and external (where available) assessments indicate that maths attainment among disadvantaged pupils is significantly below that of non-disadvantaged pupils.	1, 2
	On entry to Reception class in the previous 2 years, between 100% of our disadvantaged pupils arrived below age-related expectations at 22-36 months compared to 7-14% of other pupils at 22-36months. This gap remains steady to the end of KS2	
6	Our internal observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for these pupils, partially self-regulation, resilience and underdeveloped work behaviours. Teacher referrals for support have increased during the pandemic. 59 pupils (66% of whom are disadvantaged) required support with emotional and social needs receiving small group and/or 1:1 interventions.	3
7	Our internal data and discussions shows that 48% PP families require family support in order to support their children to access school and learning more effectively.	3
8	Our attendance data from previous years indicates that the attendance among disadvantaged families shows that a number of our disadvantaged families need additional support to secure and sustain better punctuality and attendance. Attendance among disadvantaged pupils is between 3.53% - 2.73% lower than non-disadvantaged. 16.05%-15.79% of disadvantaged pupils are persistently absent compared to 4.66%-4.33% of their peers during that period. Our	3





assessments and observations indicate that absenteeism is negatively impacting disadvantaged pupils' progress.	

#### Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Challenge Number	Success criteria
Improved phonics outcomes for disadvantaged pupils.	1	Phonics outcomes in 2023/24 show that more than 71% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard in the phonics screening check.
Improved reading attainment among disadvantaged pupils at the end of KS2.	2	KS2 reading outcomes in 2023/24 show that more than 67% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard.
Improved writing attainment among disadvantaged pupils at the end of KS2.	3	KS2 writing outcomes in 2023/24 show that more than 67% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard.
Improved oral language skills and vocabulary among disadvantaged pupils.	4	Assessments and observations indicate significantly improved oral language among disadvantaged pupils. This is evident when triangulated with other sources of evidence, including engagement in lessons, book scrutiny and ongoing formative assessment.
Improved maths attainment for disadvantaged pupils at the end of KS2.	5	KS2 maths outcomes in 2023/24 show that more than 67% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard.
To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils in our school, particularly our disadvantaged pupils	6	<ul> <li>Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2023/24 demonstrated by:</li> <li>qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations</li> </ul>





To achieve and sustain improved parental engagement, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	7	Parents feel they can discuss with school their family needs and wants.
		Parents are signposted to Early Help accurately by school.
		Parents attend all meetings including parents evenings, events, workshops in school
To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils, particularly our	8	Sustained high attendance from 2024/25 demonstrated by:
disadvantaged pupils.		<ul> <li>the overall absence rate for all pupils being no more than 4%, and the attendance gap between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers in line with national.</li> <li>the percentage of all pupils who are persistently absent being below 8% and the figure among disadvantaged pupils is in line with national figures for disadvantaged pupils.</li> </ul>





### Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

#### Tier 1: Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £59,752

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Purchase of standardised tests and MARK analyses for reading and maths.	Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weaknesses of each pupil to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction: <u>Standardised tests   Assessing and</u> <u>Monitoring Pupil Progress   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF</u>	2,5,
Improving quality first teaching (QFT) by focusing on Rosenshine's Principles in Action. We will continue to: •access Trust CPD •commission the external teaching and learning consultant to work with staff throughout the year • maintain a strong focus on QFT	Single biggest school-based factor in improving outcomes for children is the quality of teaching. The impact of high quality teaching on pupil outcomes is 3x that of any other school based factor <i>(EEF)</i>	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
<ul> <li>in our internal CPD programme.</li> <li>Purchase of a <u>DfE validated</u> <u>Systematic Synthetic Phonics</u> <u>programme</u> to secure stronger phonics teaching for all pupils.</li> <li>We will continue to: <ul> <li>access RWI training by July 2021.</li> <li>commission the external teaching and learning consultant to work with staff and/or work with the English Hub throughout the year</li> <li>Provide regular non-contact time for our Phonics Lead to work</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base that indicates a positive impact on the accuracy of word reading (though not necessarily comprehension), particularly for disadvantaged pupils: <u>Phonics   Toolkit Strand   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF</u>	1





with class teachers, TAs and external consultants.		
To continue to improve our whole school approach to the teaching of reading using the teaching sequence, quality texts and progression documents. We will continue to: • access Trust CPD on reading and the teaching sequence. • Maximise support from the Trusts' Literacy consultant. • Provide regular non-contact time for our English SL to work with class teachers, TAs and external consultants.	Single biggest school-based factor in improving outcomes for children is the quality of teaching. The impact of high quality teaching on pupil outcomes is 3x that of any other school based factor <i>(EEF)</i>	2
To continue to improve our whole school approach to the teaching of writing using the teaching sequence, quality texts and progression documents. We will continue to: •access Trust CPD on reading and the teaching sequence. •Maximise support from the Trusts' Literacy consultant. •Provide regular non-contact time for our English SL to work with class teachers, TAs and external consultants.	Single biggest school-based factor in improving outcomes for children is the quality of teaching. The impact of high quality teaching on pupil outcomes is 3x that of any other school based factor ( <i>EEF</i> )	3
To continue to improve our whole school approach to the teaching of White Rose Maths focusing on fluency, reasoning and problem solving We will continue to: •access Trust CPD on maths •Maximise support from the Trusts' Maths consultant. •Provide regular non-contact time for our Maths SL to work with class teachers, TAs and external consultants.	Single biggest school-based factor in improving outcomes for children is the quality of teaching. The impact of high quality teaching on pupil outcomes is 3x that of any other school based factor <i>(EEF)</i>	5





# Tier 2: Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £36,383

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Purchase annual subscriptions for reading and maths interventions.	Small group teaching for reading can sometimes be more effective than either 1:1 or paired tuition. ( <i>Teaching &amp; Learning Toolkit: EEF</i> ).	2, 5
Additional phonics sessions targeted at disadvantaged pupils who require further phonics support. This will be delivered in collaboration with our local English hub.	Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base indicating a positive impact on pupils, particularly from disadvantaged backgrounds. Targeted phonics interventions have been shown to be more effective when delivered as regular sessions over a period up to 12 weeks: <u>Phonics   Toolkit Strand   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF</u>	1
Additional reading sessions targeted at disadvantaged pupils who require further reading support.	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: <u>One to one tuition   EEF</u> <u>(educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)</u> And in small groups: <u>Small group tuition   Toolkit Strand  </u> <u>Education Endowment Foundation   EEF</u>	2
Additional writing sessions targeted at disadvantaged pupils who require further writing support.	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: <u>One to one tuition   EEF</u> <u>(educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)</u> And in small groups: <u>Small group tuition   Toolkit Strand  </u> <u>Education Endowment Foundation   EEF</u>	3
Purchase of a programme to improve listening, narrative and vocabulary skills for disadvantaged pupils who have relatively low spoken language skills.	Oral language interventions can have a positive impact on pupils' language skills. Approaches that focus on speaking, listening and a combination of the two show positive impacts on attainment:	4





	Oral language interventions   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	
Additional maths sessions targeted at disadvantaged pupils who require further maths support.	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: <u>One to one tuition   EEF</u> (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) And in small groups: <u>Small group tuition   Toolkit Strand  </u> Education Endowment Foundation   EEF	5

# Tier 3: Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £39,762

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Learning Mentor employed	Until children's self-esteem and confidence is developed, they are unlikely to make improved academic progress. EEF evaluated	6
Range of pastoral workshop 1:1 or group interventions to support metacognition and readiness for learning	'Social & Emotional Learning' as moderate impact (+4 months).	
	The EFF Toolkit suggests that targeted behaviour interventions for those diagnosed or at risk of emotional or behavioural	
Focussed nurture interventions 1:1 or group for identified pupils (learning behaviours, social skills, friendship skills, anger management, protective behaviours)	disorders have impact.	
Meet and greet for identified vulnerable pupils every morning		
CPD for FW (ELSA training/attachment disorder)		

Training for using Edukey as a tool to measure the impact of interventions for supporting pupils with emotional and social needs.		
Family Support workers employed Pastoral team created within school including Head teacher, SENDCO, Learning Mentor and Family Support workers and meet fortnightly to review identified pupils/family's needs	EEF Parental engagement has an impact of 4+ months. External factors need to be addressed to ensure 'Readiness for Learning' Factors include finance, housing, deprivation, high mobility, migration, safeguarding or attendance.	7
Identified training and support put in place for FSW and LM, where identified (eg. Attachment disorder/ protective behaviours, DSL)	Learning Mentor and Family Support Worker. Early Help Assessments are a recognised means of pulling agencies together to support families to provide early interventions.	
Increased support for families through Early Help including signposting, Early Help assessments, CIN and Child Protection work		
Parent workshops – targeted parent workshops to support families		
Embedding principles of good practice set out in the DfE's <u>Improving School Attendance</u> advice.	The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced levels of absence and persistent absence.	8
This will involve training and release time for staff to develop and implement new procedures and appointing attendance/support officers to improve attendance.		









# Total budgeted cost: £135,897





# Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2020 to 2021 academic year.

Our internal assessments during 2020/21 suggested that the performance of disadvantaged pupils was lower than in the previous years in key areas of the curriculum. Despite being on track during the first year (2019/20), the outcomes we aimed to achieve in our previous strategy by the end of 2020/21 were therefore not fully realised.

Our assessment of the reasons for these outcomes points primarily to Covid-19 impact, which disrupted all our subject areas to varying degrees. As evidenced in schools across the country, school closure was most detrimental to our disadvantaged pupils, and they were not able to benefit from our pupil premium funded improvements to teaching and targeted interventions to the degree we had intended. The impact was mitigated by our resolution to maintain a high quality curriculum, including during periods of partial closure, which was aided by use of online resources such as those provided by Oak National Academy.

Although overall attendance in 2020/21 was lower than in the preceding years. At times when all pupils were expected to attend school, absence among disadvantaged pupils was3.54% higher than their peers and persistent absence12.86% higher. These gaps are larger than in previous years, which is why attendance is a focus of our current plan.

Our assessments and observations indicated that pupil behaviour, wellbeing and mental health were significantly impacted last year, primarily due to COVID-19-related issues. The impact was particularly acute for disadvantaged pupils. We used pupil premium funding to provide wellbeing support for all pupils, and targeted interventions where required. We are building on that approach with the activities detailed in this plan.





### **Externally provided programmes**

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

Programme	Provider
Maths Programme	Third Space Learning

# Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information:

Measure	Details
How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year?	Service children received additional support in class.
What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils?	Key Stage 1 pupil achieved Expected in Reading, Writing and maths in teacher assessments in July 2021.